arXiv:1704.00604v2 [math.AP] 24 Apr 2017

MULTIPLICITY AND CONCENTRATION OF SOLUTIONS FOR FRACTIONAL SCHRÖDINGER SYSTEMS VIA PENALIZATION METHOD

VINCENZO AMBROSIO

Abstract. The aim of this paper is to investigate existence, multiplicity and concentration of positive solutions of the following nonlocal system of fractional Schrödinger equations

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon^{2s}(-\Delta)^s u + V(x)u = Q_u(u,v) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \\ \varepsilon^{2s}(-\Delta)^s u + W(x)v = Q_v(u,v) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \\ u, v > 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \end{cases}$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a parameter, $s \in (0,1)$, $N > 2s$, $(-\Delta)^s$ is the fractional Laplacian, $V : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ and $W : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ are positive continuous potentials, Q is an homogeneous C^2-function with subcritical growth. In order to relate the number of solutions with the topology of the set where the potentials V and W attain their minimum values, we apply penalization techniques, Nehari manifold arguments and Ljusternik-Schnirelmann theory.

1. Introduction

In this paper we deal with the existence, multiplicity and concentration phenomena of positive solutions for the following nonlinear fractional Schrödinger system

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon^{2s}(-\Delta)^s u + V(x)u = Q_u(u,v) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \\ \varepsilon^{2s}(-\Delta)^s u + W(x)v = Q_v(u,v) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \\ u, v > 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a parameter, $s \in (0,1)$, $N > 2s$, $V : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ and $W : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ are Hölder continuous potentials, Q is an homogeneous C^2-function with subcritical growth.
We assume that there exist $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\rho_0 > 0$ such that:
$(H1)$ $V(x), W(x) \geq \rho_0$ for any $x \in \partial\Lambda$;
$(H2)$ $V(x_0), W(x_0) < \rho_0$;
$(H3)$ $V(x) \geq V(x_0)$, $W(x) \geq W(x_0)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$.
Concerning the function $Q : \mathbb{R}^2_+ \to \mathbb{R}$, where $\mathbb{R}^2_+ = [0,\infty) \times [0,\infty)$, we suppose that $Q \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+, \mathbb{R})$ verifies the following conditions:
$(Q1)$ there exists $p \in (2, 2^*_s)$ such that $Q(tu,tv) = t^p Q(u,v)$ for all $t > 0$, $(u,v) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+$;
$(Q2)$ there exists $C > 0$ such that $|Q_u(u,v)| + |Q_v(u,v)| \leq C(u^{p-1} + v^{p-1})$ for all $(u,v) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+$;
$(Q3)$ $Q_u(0,1) = 0 = Q_v(1,0)$;
$(Q4)$ $Q_u(1,0) = 0 = Q_v(0,1)$;
$(Q5)$ $Q(u,v) > 0$ for any $u, v > 0$;
$(Q6)$ $Q_u(u,v), Q_v(u,v) \geq 0$ for all $(u,v) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+$.
Since we are interested in positive solutions of (1.1), we extend the function Q to the whole $\mathbb{R}^2$ by setting $Q(u,v) = 0$ if $u \leq 0$ or $v \leq 0$. We note that the p-homogeneity of Q, implies that the following identity holds:

$$pQ(u,v) = uQ_u(u,v) + vQ_v(u,v) \text{ for any } (u,v) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \tag{1.2}$$

and

$$p(p-1)Q(u,v) = u^2 Q_{uu}(u,v) + 2uvQ_{uv}(u,v) + v^2 Q_{vv}(u,v) \text{ for any } (u,v) \in \mathbb{R}^2. \tag{1.3}$$

Key words and phrases. Fractional Schrödinger systems; penalization method; Ljusternik-Schnirelmann theory.

As a model for Q, we can provide the following example given in [20]. Let $q \geq 1$ and

$$\mathcal{P}_q(u,v) = \sum_{\alpha_i+\beta_i=q} a_i u^{\alpha_i} v^{\beta_i}$$

where $i \in \{1,\dots,k\}$, $\alpha_i, \beta_i \geq 1$ and $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$. The following functions and their possible combinations, with appropriate choice of the coefficients a_i, satisfy the assumptions $(Q1)$-$(Q5)$ on Q

$$Q_1(u,v) = \mathcal{P}_p(u,v), \quad Q_2(u,v)) = \sqrt[r]{\mathcal{P}_\ell(u,v)} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_3(u,v) = \frac{\mathcal{P}_{\ell_1}(u,v)}{\mathcal{P}_{\ell_2}(u,v)},$$

with $r = \ell p$ and $\ell_1 - \ell_2 = p$.

The nonlocal operator $(-\Delta)^s$ appearing in (1.1), it is the fractional Laplacian operator which can be defined for any $u : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ smooth enough, by setting

$$(-\Delta)^s u(x) = C_{N,s} P.V. \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(u(x)-u(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dy \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^N)$$

where $P.V.$ stands for the Cauchy principal value, and $C_{N,s}$ is a positive constant depending only on N and s; see for instance [22, 37] for more details.
In the scalar case, the problem (1.1) reduces to the following fractional Schrödinger equation

$$\varepsilon^{2s}(-\Delta)^s u + V(x)u = f(x,u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{1.4}$$

We recall that a basic motivation to consider (1.4), arises in the study of standing wave solutions $\Phi(t,x) = u(x)e^{-\imath ct}$ for the following time-dependent fractional Schrödinger equation

$$i\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} = (-\Delta)^s \Phi + V(x)\Phi - f(x,|\Phi|) \text{ for } (t,x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.5}$$

which plays a fundamental role in fractional quantum mechanics. Equation (1.5) was introduced by Laskin [33, 34] as an extension of the classical nonlinear Schrödinger equation [6, 13, 21, 29, 38, 45] in which the Brownian motion of the quantum paths is replaced by a Lévy flight.

In the last decade a great attention has been paid to the existence and multiplicity of solutions to (1.4) under several assumptions on the potential $V(x)$, and involving nonlinearities $f(x,u)$ with subcritical or critical growth. Felmer et al. [26] investigated existence, regularity and qualitative properties of positive solution to (1.4) when $V = 1$ and f is a superlinear function with subcritical growth and satisfying the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition. Coti Zelati and Nolasco [19] dealt with the existence of ground state for a fractional Schrödinger equation involving the operator $(-\Delta+m^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ with $m > 0$, by applying variational methods and the extension technique introduced in [17]. Fall et al. [24] showed the concentration points of the solutions of (1.4) must be the critical points for V, as $\varepsilon \to 0$ tends to zero. Shang and Zhang [41] used Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction method to study the existence, multiplicity and concentration behavior of semiclassical standing wave solutions for (1.4) involving vanishing potentials. Dipierro et al. [23] proved some existence results to (1.4) with $V = 0$, $f(x,u) = \varepsilon\, h u^q + u^{2_s^*-1}$, where $q \in (0,1)$ and $h \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, via Concentration-Compactness Principle and mountain pass arguments. Alves and Miyagaki in [4] (see also [10]) investigated the existence and the concentration of positive solutions to (1.4) when f is a continuous function having a subcritical growth, and the potential V is a continuous function having a local minimum. Teng [43] obtained the existence of a nontrivial ground state for a nonlinear fractional Schrödinger-Poisson system with critical Sobolev exponent, by using the method of Pohozaev-Nehari manifold and the arguments of Brezis-Nirenberg, the monotonic trick and a global compactness Lemma. Further results related to (1.4), can be found in [8, 28, 30, 32, 36, 39, 40, 42], in which the authors established several existence and the multiplicity results, by using appropriate and different variational and topological methods.
In this paper we focus our attention on the concentration and the multiplicity of solutions for fractional Schrödinger systems.

We recall that in the classical literature, many interesting papers [5, 12, 15, 27, 44] considered existence, multiplicity and symmetry of solutions for elliptic systems of the type

$$\begin{cases} -\varepsilon^2 \Delta u + V(x)u = G_u(u,v) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \\ -\varepsilon^2 \Delta u + W(x)v = G_v(u,v) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \\ u, v > 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

In particular way, in [1, 2, 3], the authors investigated existence, multiplicity and the concentration of positive solutions to (1.6), via a suitable variant of the penalization method introduced by del Pino and Felmer in [21] to study the concentration phenomena for nonlinear Schrödinger equations. Differently from the local case, in the fractional context, there are only few papers [9, 18, 25, 31, 35, 46] dealing with fractional systems, and, as far as we know, no results on the multiplicity and concentration of solutions for fractional nonlinear Schrödinger systems are available.
The goal of this work is to give a first result in this direction, generalizing the multiplicity and concentration results in [2] for the nonlocal system (1.1).
Before to state our results, we need to introduce some notations.

Fix $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$, and we consider the following autonomous system

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u + V(\xi)u = Q_u(u,v) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \\ (-\Delta)^s u + W(\xi)v = Q_v(u,v) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \\ u, v > 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases}$$

Let $\mathcal{J}_\xi : H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \to \mathbb{R}$ be the Euler-Lagrange functional associated to the above problem, i.e.

$$\mathcal{J}_\xi(u,v) = \frac{1}{2}\|(u,v)\|_\xi^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(u,v)dx,$$

where

$$\|(u,v)\|_\xi^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u|^2 + |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}v|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (V(\xi)u^2 + W(\xi)v^2)dx.$$

As in [9], we can see that the assumptions $(H3)$, $(Q1)$ and $(Q2)$, show that $\mathcal{J}_\xi$ possesses a mountain pass geometry, so we can consider the mountain pass value

$$C(\xi) = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} \mathcal{J}_\xi(\gamma(t))$$

where

$$\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0,1], \mathbb{H}_0) : \gamma(0) = 0, \mathcal{J}_\xi(\gamma(1)) \leq 0\}.$$

Moreover, we can prove (see Section 2) that $\xi \mapsto C(\xi)$ is a continuous function and that $C(\xi)$ can be also characterized as

$$C(\xi) = \inf_{(u,v) \in \mathcal{N}_\xi} \mathcal{J}_\xi(u,v),$$

where $\mathcal{N}_\xi$ is the Nehari manifold associated of $\mathcal{J}_\xi$. From the results in [9], for any fixed $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $C(\xi)$ is achieved, so that

$$M := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : C(x) = \inf_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N} C(\xi)\} \neq \emptyset.$$

We recall that if Y is a given closed set of a topological space X, we denote by $cat_X(Y)$ the Ljusternik-Schnirelmann category of Y in X, that is the least number of closed and contractible sets in X which cover Y.

With the above notations, the statement of our main result is the following one.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that* $(H1)$-$(H3)$ *and* $(Q1)$-$(Q6)$ *hold. Then, for any* $\delta > 0$ *verifying*

$$M_\delta = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : dist(x, M) < \delta\} \subset \Lambda,$$

there exists $\varepsilon_\delta > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_\delta)$, the system (1.1) admits at least $cat_{M_\delta}(M)$ solutions. Moreover, if $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon)$ is a solution to (1.1) and P_ε and Q_ε are maximum points of u_ε and v_ε respectively, then $C(P_\varepsilon), C(Q_\varepsilon) \to C(x_0)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is obtained by applying variational methods, following some ideas developed in [1, 2, 4, 10]. Firstly, we use the penalization technique introduced by Alves [1] modifying in a suitable way the function $Q(u, v)$ outside the set Λ. In this way, the energy functional $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon$ associated to the modified problem, satisfies the assumptions of the Mountain Pass Theorem [7], and we can find a nontrivial solution of the modified problem. Since we are interested in obtaining a multiplicity result for the modified problem, we study the energy functional $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon$ restricted to its Nehari Manifold $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$, and we employ a technique introduced by Benci and Cerami in [14]. The main ingredient is to make precisely comparisons between the category of some sublevel sets of the functional $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon$ and the category of the set M. Therefore, by using Ljusternik-Schnirelmann theory, we obtain the existence of multiple solutions $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon)$ for the modified problem. Now, in order to prove that these solutions are also solutions to (1.1) provided that $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small, we use a different approach from [1, 2], because the techniques developed for the local case cannot be adapted in our context due to the presence of the nonlocal operator $(-\Delta)^s$. More precisely, motivated by [4, 8, 10], we use a Moser iteration argument to estimate the L^∞-norm of $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon)$, and by constructing a suitable comparison functions based on the Bessel kernel [26], we are able to show that $|(u_\varepsilon(x), v_\varepsilon(x))| \to 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$ uniformly in ε. This fact will be fundamental to achieve our aim. Finally, we also study the behavior of the maximum points of solutions to (1.1).
We would like to point out that Theorem 1.1 is in clear accordance with the local case, and can be seen as the nonlocal counterpart of Theorem 1.1 in [2].
We also emphasize that, to our knowledge, this is the first result in which the penalization technique combined with Ljusternik-Schnirelmann theory allow to obtain multiple solutions for the subcritical fractional system (1.1). In a future work [11] we will extend Theorem 1.1 for a class of critical fractional systems.
The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we collect some preliminary facts about the fractional Sobolev spaces and fractional autonomous systems. In Section 3 we introduce the modified problem. In Section 4 we prove some compactness results for the modified functional. In Section 5 we present the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2. Preliminaries and technical results

In this preliminary section we recall some results concerning the fractional Sobolev spaces, and we introduce the functional setting.
For any $s \in (0, 1)$ we define $\mathcal{D}^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as the completion of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u|^2 dx = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}}\, dx\, dy,$$

that is

$$\mathcal{D}^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \left\{ u \in L^{2_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^N) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u|^2 dx < \infty \right\}.$$

Let us introduce the fractional Sobolev space

$$H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) = \left\{ u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u|^2 dx < \infty \right\}$$

endowed with the natural norm

$$\|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 dx}.$$

We recall the following fundamental embeddings:

Theorem 2.1. [22] *Let $s \in (0,1)$ and $N > 2s$. Then there exists a sharp constant $S_* = S(N,s) > 0$ such that for any $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$*

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2_s^*} dx\right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}} \leq S_* \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u|^2 dx. \tag{2.1}$$

Moreover $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is continuously embedded in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $q \in [2, 2_s^*]$ and compactly in $L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $q \in [2, 2_s^*)$.

Now, we collect some technical results which will be useful later. Fixed $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$, let us consider the following subcritical autonomous system

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u + V(\xi)u = Q_u(u,v) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \\ (-\Delta)^s u + W(\xi)v = Q_v(u,v) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \\ u, v > 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

We set $\mathbb{H}_0 = H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ endowed with the following norm

$$\|(u,v)\|_\xi^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u|^2 + |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} v|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (V(\xi)u^2 + W(\xi)v^2) dx.$$

Clearly, $\mathbb{H}_0$ is a Hilbert space. Let us introduce the functional $\mathcal{J}_\xi : \mathbb{H}_0 \to \mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$\mathcal{J}_\xi(u,v) = \frac{1}{2}\|(u,v)\|_\xi^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(u,v) dx.$$

Since $\mathcal{J}_\xi$ has a mountain pass geometry [9], we can define the minimax level

$$C(\xi) = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} \mathcal{J}_\xi(\gamma(t))$$

where

$$\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0,1], \mathbb{H}_0) : \gamma(0) = 0, \mathcal{J}_\xi(\gamma(1)) \leq 0\}.$$

By using Theorem 3.1 in [9], we know that the problem (2.2) admits a weak solution.
Next, we give the proof of the following result which plays an important role to study (1.1).

Lemma 2.1. *The map $\xi \mapsto C(\xi)$ is continuous.*

Proof. For $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$, let $(\zeta_n), (\lambda_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be two sequences such that
(a) $\zeta_n \to \xi$ and $C(\zeta_n) \geq C(\xi)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
(b) $\lambda_n \to \xi$ and $C(\lambda_n) \leq C(\xi)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
We aim to prove that $C(\zeta_n), C(\lambda_n) \to C(\xi)$ as $n \to \infty$. By using Theorem 2.2 we know that there exists $w = (u,v) \in \mathbb{H}_0$ such that

$$\mathcal{J}_\xi(w) = C(\xi) \text{ and } \mathcal{J}'_\xi(w) = 0.$$

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $t_n > 0$ such that

$$C(\zeta_n) \leq \mathcal{J}_{\zeta_n}(t_n w) = \max_{t \geq 0} \mathcal{J}_{\zeta_n}(tw).$$

We can show that $t_n \to 1$. In fact $\mathcal{J}'_\xi(w) = 0$ and (1.2) imply that

$$\|(u,v)\|_\xi^2 = p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(u,v) dx.$$

From the definition of $t_n > 0$ we know that $\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{J}_{\zeta_n}(tu, tv)\,|_{t=t_n} = 0$, so, by using $(Q1)$ and (1.2), we get

$$\|(u,v)\|_{\zeta_n}^2 = p t_n^{p-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(u,v) dx.$$

Thus, by using the continuity of V and W, and the fact that $\zeta_n \to \xi$, we deduce that $t_n \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$. Moreover, we can see that $\mathcal{J}_{\zeta_n}(t_n w) \to \mathcal{J}_\xi(w)$ as $n \to \infty$. Therefore

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} C(\zeta_n) \leq C(\xi).$$

From (a), we can deduce that $\liminf_{n\to\infty} C(\zeta_n) \geq C(\xi)$, which implies that $C(\zeta_n) \to C(\xi)$ as $n \to \infty$. Now, we show that $C(\lambda_n) \to C(\xi)$ as $n \to \infty$. By using Theorem 2.2, there exists $w_n = (u_n, v_n)$ such that

$$\mathcal{J}_{\lambda_n}(w_n) = C(\lambda_n) \text{ and } \mathcal{J}'_{\lambda_n}(w_n) = 0. \tag{2.3}$$

Let $p_n, q_n \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$u_n(p_n) = \max_{x\in\mathbb{R}^N} u_n(x) \text{ and } v_n(q_n) = \max_{x\in\mathbb{R}^N} v_n(x),$$

and we set $z_n = u_n + v_n$. By using $(Q2)$, there exists $K > 0$ such that z_n satisfies

$$(-\Delta)^s z_n + \alpha \leq K z_n^{p-1} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $\alpha = \min\{V(x_0), W(x_0)\}$. If we denote by $z_n(r_n) = \max_{x\in\mathbb{R}^N} z_n(x)$, we can use the integral representation formula for the fractional Laplacian (see [22]) to see that

$$(-\Delta)^s z_n(r_n) = C(N,s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{2z_n(r_n) - z_n(r_n + x) - z_n(r_n - x)}{|x|^{N+2s}} dx \geq 0.$$

Therefore

$$0 < \alpha \leq K z_n(r_n)^{p-2} \leq K(u_n(p_n) + v_n(q_n)).$$

Hence, there exists $\delta = (\frac{\alpha}{K})^{\frac{1}{p-2}} > 0$ such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$u_n(p_n) \geq \frac{\delta}{4} \text{ or } v_n(q_n) \geq \frac{\delta}{4}.$$

As a consequence, there exists an infinite subset $\mathbb{M} \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that at least one of the following cases occurs:

(i) $u_n(p_n) \geq \frac{\delta}{4}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{M}$,

(ii) $v_n(q_n) \geq \frac{\delta}{4}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{M}$.

Let us assume that (i) occurs, and we define

$$\hat{u}_n(x) = u_n(x + p_n) \text{ and } \hat{v}_n(x) = v_n(x + p_n).$$

By using (2.3), we may assume, up to a subsequence, that $\hat{u}_n \to \hat{u}$ and $\hat{v}_n \to \hat{v}$ in $\mathbb{H}_0$. Since $\lambda_n \to \xi$, we can note that the function $\hat{w} = (\hat{u}, \hat{v})$ verifies $\mathcal{J}_\xi(\hat{w}) = C(\xi)$ and $\mathcal{J}'_\xi(\hat{w}) = 0$. By using (2.3), we can see that $\langle \mathcal{J}'_{\lambda_n}(w_n), \hat{w}(\cdot - p_n)\rangle = 0$, that is

$$\langle \mathcal{J}'_{\lambda_n}(\hat{w}_n), \hat{w}\rangle = 0.$$

By using the weak convergence in $\mathbb{H}_0$ and $\lambda_n \to \xi$ as $n \to \infty$, we can pass to the limit in the above relation and we find $\langle \mathcal{J}'_\xi(\hat{w}), \hat{w}\rangle = 0$. Now, fix $\theta \in (2, p)$. By using the weak convergence, Fatou

Lemma and $\langle \mathcal{J}'_\xi(\hat{w}), \hat{w}\rangle = 0$, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} C(\xi) \leq \mathcal{J}_\xi(\hat{w}) &= \mathcal{J}_\xi(\hat{w}) - \frac{1}{\theta}\langle \mathcal{J}'_\xi(\hat{w}), \hat{w}\rangle \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta}\right)\|\hat{w}\|^2_\xi + \left(\frac{p}{\theta} - 1\right)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(\hat{u}, \hat{v})dx \\ &\leq \liminf_{n\to\infty}\left[\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta}\right)\|(\hat{u}_n, \hat{v}_n)\|^2_{\lambda_n} + \left(\frac{p}{\theta} - 1\right)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(\hat{u}_n, \hat{v}_n)dx\right] \\ &= \liminf_{n\to\infty}\left[\mathcal{J}_{\lambda_n}(u_n, v_n) - \frac{1}{\theta}\langle \mathcal{J}'_{\lambda_n}(u_n, v_n), (u_n, v_n)\rangle\right] \\ &= \liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda_n}(u_n, v_n) = \liminf_{n\to\infty} C(\lambda_n). \end{aligned}$$

This and the condition (b) yields $C(\lambda_n) \to C(\xi)$ as $n \to \infty$.

□

Let us note that

$$C(\xi) = \inf_{(u,v)\in\mathcal{N}_\xi} \mathcal{J}_\xi(u, v)$$

where

$$\mathcal{N}_\xi = \{(u, v) \in \mathbb{H}_0 \setminus\{(0, 0)\} : \langle \mathcal{J}'_\xi(u, v), (u, v)\rangle = 0\}.$$

Since the minimax level $C(\xi)$ is achieved and by using $(H1)$-$(H3)$, we can see that

$$M = \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : C(x) = \inf_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^N} C(\xi)\right\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Now, we prove the following fundamental result.

Lemma 2.2. $C^* = C(x_0) = \inf_{\xi\in\Lambda} C(\xi) < \min_{\xi\in\partial\Lambda} C(\xi)$.

Proof. Let us denote by b_{ρ_0} the minimax level of Mountain Pass theorem associated to the functional $F_{\rho_0} : \mathbb{H}_0 \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$F_{\rho_0}(u, v) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u|^2 + |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}v|^2 + \rho_0 u^2 + \rho_0 v^2)\,dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(u, v)\,dx.$$

Using the definition of F_{ρ_0} and $(H1)$, we have for $\xi \in \partial\Lambda$

$$F_{\rho_0}(u, v) \leq \mathcal{J}_\xi(u, v) \text{ for all } (u, v) \in \mathbb{H}_0$$

so we get

$$b_{\rho_0} \leq C(\xi) \text{ for all } \xi \in \partial\Lambda.$$

Thus

$$b_{\rho_0} \leq \min_{\xi\in\partial\Lambda} C(\xi). \tag{2.4}$$

On the other hand, from $(H2)$, we can see that

$$F_{\rho_0}(u, v) > \mathcal{J}_{x_0}(u, v) \text{ for all } (u, v) \in \mathbb{H}_0 \setminus\{0\}$$

from where we can conclude

$$C(x_0) < b_{\rho_0}$$

which implies that

$$\inf_{\xi\in\Lambda} C(\xi) < b_{\rho_0}. \tag{2.5}$$

Putting together (2.4) and (2.5) we obtain

$$\inf_{\xi\in\Lambda} C(\xi) < \min_{\xi\in\partial\Lambda} C(\xi).$$

This ends the proof of lemma. □

Finally, we recall the following compactness property related to minimizing sequences of the autonomous system, whose proof follows the lines of Theorem 3.1 in [9].

Theorem 2.2. [9] *Let $(u_n, v_n) \subset \mathcal{N}_\xi$ be a sequence such that $\mathcal{J}_\xi(u_n, v_n) \to C^*$ and $(u_n, v_n) \rightharpoonup (u, v)$ in $\mathbb{H}_0$. Then there exists $(\tilde{y}_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that the translated sequence $(u_n(\cdot + \tilde{y}_n), v_n(\cdot + \tilde{y}_n))$ strongly converges to $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \in \mathcal{N}_\xi$ with $\mathcal{J}_\xi(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) = C^*$. Moreover, if $(u, v) \neq 0$, then $(\tilde{y}_n)$ can be taken identically zero and therefore $(u_n, v_n) \to (u, v)$ in $\mathbb{H}_0$.*

3. THE MODIFIED PROBLEM

In this section we introduce a penalization function in order to study solutions of problem (1.1). Firstly, we observe that, by using the change of variable $z \mapsto \varepsilon x$, the study of (1.1) is equivalent to consider the following problem

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u + V(\varepsilon x)u = Q_u(u, v) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \\ (-\Delta)^s u + W(\varepsilon x)v = Q_v(u, v) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \\ u, v > 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

At this point, we choose $a > 0$ and $\eta : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ a non-increasing function such that

$$\eta = 1 \text{ on } (-\infty, a],\ \eta = 0 \text{ on } [5a, \infty),\ |\eta'(t)| \leq \frac{C}{a}, \text{ and } |\eta''(t)| \leq \frac{C}{a^2}. \tag{3.2}$$

By using η, we introduce the following function $\hat{Q} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ by setting

$$\hat{Q}(u, v) = \eta(|(u, v)|)Q(u, v) + (1 - \eta(|(u, v)|)A(u^2 + v^2)$$

where

$$A = \max\left\{ \frac{Q(u, v)}{u^2 + v^2} : (u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2, a \leq |(u, v)| \leq 5a \right\} > 0.$$

Let us observe that $A \to 0$ as $a \to 0^+$, so we may assume that $A < \min\{V(x_0), W(x_0)\}$. Now, we define $H : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ by setting

$$H(x, u, v) = \chi_\Lambda(x)Q(u, v) + (1 - \chi_\Lambda(x))\hat{Q}(u, v).$$

As in [1], we can prove the following useful properties of the penalized function H.

Lemma 3.1. *The function H satisfies the following estimates*

$$pH(x, u, v) = uH_u(x, u, v) + vH_v(x, u, v) \text{ for any } x \in \Lambda \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$2H(x, u, v) \leq uH_u(x, u, v) + vH_v(x, u, v) \text{ for any } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Lambda. \tag{3.4}$$

Moreover, for any $k > 0$ fixed, there exists a constant $a > 0$ sufficiently small such that

$$uH_u(x, u, v) + vH_v(x, u, v) \leq \frac{1}{k}(V(x)u^2 + W(x)v^2) \text{ for any } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Lambda \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$\frac{|H_u(x, u, v)|}{a}, \frac{|H_v(x, u, v)|}{a} \leq \frac{\alpha}{4} \text{ for any } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Lambda, \tag{3.6}$$

where $\alpha = \min\{V(x_0), W(x_0)\}$.

Now, we consider the following modified problem

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u + V(\varepsilon x)u = H_u(\varepsilon x, u, v) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \\ (-\Delta)^s u + W(\varepsilon x)v = H_v(\varepsilon x, u, v) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \\ u, v > 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

Then, from the definition of H and $\hat{Q}$, to study solutions of (3.1), we will look for solutions $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon)$ such that

$$|(u_\varepsilon(x), v_\varepsilon(x))| \leq a \text{ for any } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Lambda_\varepsilon,$$

where $\Lambda_\varepsilon = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : \varepsilon\, x \in \Lambda\}$ and $|(u,v)| = \sqrt{u^2+v^2}$ for any $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$.

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we introduce the fractional space

$$\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon = \{(u,v) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (V(\varepsilon\, x)|u|^2 + W(\varepsilon\, x)|v|^2)dx < \infty\}.$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|(u,v)\|_\varepsilon^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u|^2 + |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}v|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (V(\varepsilon\, x)u^2 + W(\varepsilon\, x)v^2)dx.$$

Let us introduce the Euler-Lagrange functional associated to (3.7), that is

$$\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(u,v) = \frac{1}{2}\|(u,v)\|_\varepsilon^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H(\varepsilon\, x, u, v)dx$$

for any $(u,v) \in \mathbb{H}_\varepsilon$.

We define

$$\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon = \{(u,v) \in \mathbb{H}_\varepsilon \setminus \{(0,0)\} : \langle \mathcal{J}'_\varepsilon(u,v), (u,v)\rangle = 0\}.$$

It is standard to check that for any $(u,v) \in \mathbb{H}_\varepsilon \setminus (0,0)$, the function $t \to \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(tu, tv)$ achieves its maximum at unique $t_u > 0$ such that $t_u(u,v) \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$.

Let us observe that $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon \in C^1(\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{R})$ has a mountain pass geometry, that is

$(MP1)$ $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(0,0) = 0$;

$(MP2)$ there exist $\alpha, \rho > 0$ such that $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(u,v) \geq \alpha$ for $\|(u,v)\|_\xi \geq \alpha$;

$(MP3)$ there exists $e \in \mathbb{H}_0 \setminus B_\rho(0)$ such that $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(e) \leq 0$.

Indeed, by using (3.3)-(3.5), we can see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H(\varepsilon\, x, u, v)dx \leq \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon} H(\varepsilon\, x, u, v)dx + \frac{1}{k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Lambda_\varepsilon} V(\varepsilon\, x)u^2 + W(\varepsilon\, x)v^2 dx$$

which together with $(Q2)$ and Theorem 2.1 yields

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(u,v) &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{k}\right)\|(u,v)\|_\varepsilon^2 - C\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p + |v|^p dx \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{k}\right)\|(u,v)\|_\varepsilon^2 - C\|(u,v)\|_\varepsilon^p\end{aligned}$$

where $k > 1$ is fixed. Hence, $(MP2)$ holds. On the other hand, for any $(\phi_1, \phi_2) \in \mathbb{H}_\varepsilon$ such that $Q(\phi_1, \phi_2) \geq 0$ and $Q(\phi_1, \phi_2) \neq 0$, we have, in view of $(Q1)$, that

$$\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(t\phi_1, t\phi_2) \leq \frac{t^2}{2}\|(\phi_1, \phi_2)\|_\varepsilon^2 - Ct^p \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon} Q(\phi_1, \phi_2)dx \to -\infty \text{ as } t \to \infty.$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon$ satisfies the Palais-Smale compactness condition.

Lemma 3.2. *Any sequence $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ in $\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon$ such that $\{\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(u_n, v_n)\}$ is bounded and $\mathcal{J}'_\varepsilon(u_n, v_n) \to 0$, admits a convergent subsequence.*

Proof. First of all, we show that $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ is bounded in $\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon$. In fact, using conditions (3.3)-(3.5), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u_n|^2 + |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}v_n|^2 + V(\varepsilon\, x)u_n^2 + W(\varepsilon\, x)v_n^2\, dx \\ &\qquad \geq \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon} u_n H_u(\varepsilon\, x, u_n, v_n) + \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon} v_n H_v(\varepsilon\, x, u_n, v_n) + o(\|(u_n, v_n)\|_\varepsilon).\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

On the other hand,

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u_n|^2 + |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}v_n|^2 + V(\varepsilon\, x)u_n^2 + W(\varepsilon\, x)v_n^2\, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H(\varepsilon\, x, u_n, v_n)\, dx + O(1),$$

so we can see that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u_n|^2+|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}v_n|^2+V(\varepsilon x)u_n^2+W(\varepsilon x)v_n^2\,dx\\ \leq\int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon}H(\varepsilon x,u_n,v_n)\,dx+\frac{1}{2k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\Lambda_\varepsilon}[V(\varepsilon x)u_n^2+W(\varepsilon x)v_n^2]+O(1).\end{aligned}\tag{3.9}$$

Taking into account (3.8) and (3.9) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\right)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u_n|^2+|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}v_n|^2+V(\varepsilon x)u_n^2+W(\varepsilon x)v_n^2\,dx\\ \leq\frac{1}{2k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\Lambda_\varepsilon}[V(\varepsilon x)u_n^2+W(\varepsilon x)v_n^2]+o(\|(u_n,v_n)\|_\varepsilon)+O(1).\end{aligned}$$

Choosing k such that $k>2(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})^{-1}$, it follows that $\{(u_n,v_n)\}$ is bounded. Since $\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon$ is reflexive, there exists $(u,v)\in\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon$ and a subsequence, still denoted by $\{(u_n,v_n)\}$, such that $\{(u_n,v_n)\}$ is weakly convergent to (u,v) and strongly in $L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $q\in[2,2^*_s)$. Then, by using the Dominated convergence theorem, it is easy to see that (u,v) is a critical point of $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon$ and

$$\|(u,v)\|_\varepsilon^2=\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}uH_u(\varepsilon x,u,v)+v_nH_v(\varepsilon x,u_n,v_n)\,dx.\tag{3.10}$$

Now, we will prove that $\{(u_n,v_n)\}$ converges strongly to (u,v). To do this, we will prove the following claim.

Claim 1. For each $\delta>0$, there exists $R>0$ such that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_R}|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u_n|^2+|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}v_n|^2+V(\varepsilon x)u_n^2+W(\varepsilon x)v_n^2\,dx\leq\delta,\tag{3.11}$$

where B_R denotes the ball with center 0 and radius R.

First of all we may assume that R is chosen so that $\Lambda\subset B_R$. Let η_R be a cut-off function such that $\eta_R=0$ on B_R, $\eta_R=1$ on $\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_{2R}$, $0\leq\eta\leq1$ and $|\nabla\eta_R|\leq\frac{c}{R}$. Since $\{(u_n,v_n)\}$ is a bounded (PS) sequence, we have

$$\langle\mathcal{J}'_\varepsilon(u_n,v_n),(\eta_Ru_n,\eta_Rv_n)\rangle=o_n(1).$$

Thus, by using (3.5) with $k>1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}}\eta_R(x)\left[\frac{|u_n(x)-u_n(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}+\frac{|v_n(x)-v_n(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\right]dxdy\\ &+\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}}\frac{(\eta_R(x)-\eta_R(y))(u_n(x)-u_n(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}u_n(y)dxdy\\ &+\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(V(\varepsilon x)u_n^2+W(\varepsilon x)v_n^2)\eta_R\,dx\\ &=\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(u_nH_u(\varepsilon x,u_n,v_n)+v_nH_v(\varepsilon x,u_n,v_n))\eta_R+o_n(1)\\ &\leq\frac{1}{k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}V(\varepsilon x)u_n^2+W(\varepsilon x)v_n^2\,dx+o_n(1),\end{aligned}$$

from where we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(1-\frac{1}{k}\right)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_R}|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u_n|^2+|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}v_n|^2+V(\varepsilon x)u_n^2+W(\varepsilon x)v_n^2\,dx\\ &\leq-\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}}\frac{(\eta_R(x)-\eta_R(y))(u_n(x)-u_n(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}u_n(y)dxdy+o_n(1).\end{aligned}\tag{3.12}$$

By using Hölder inequality and the boundedness of (u_n, v_n), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(\eta_R(x)-\eta_R(y))(u_n(x)-u_n(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} u_n(y)dxdy\right| \\
&\leq \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|\eta_R(x)-\eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} u_n^2(y)dxdy\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{|u_n(x)-u_n(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}dxdy\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C\left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|\eta_R(x)-\eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} u_n^2(y)dxdy\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if we prove that

$$\limsup_{R\to\infty}\limsup_{n\to\infty} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|\eta_R(x)-\eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} u_n^2(x)dxdy = 0, \tag{3.13}$$

we can use (3.12) to conclude that the Claim 1 holds.
Then, in what follows, we prove that (3.13) is satisfied. Firstly, we note that $\mathbb{R}^{2N}$ can be written as

$$\mathbb{R}^{2N} = ((\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{2R}) \times (\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{2R})) \cup ((\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{2R}) \times B_{2R}) \cup (B_{2R} \times \mathbb{R}^N) =: X_R^1 \cup X_R^2 \cup X_R^3.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|\eta_R(x)-\eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} u_n^2(x)dxdy = \iint_{X_R^1} \frac{|\eta_R(x)-\eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} u_n^2(x)dxdy \\
&+ \iint_{X_R^2} \frac{|\eta_R(x)-\eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} u_n^2(x)dxdy + \iint_{X_R^3} \frac{|\eta_R(x)-\eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} u_n^2(x)dxdy.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Now, we estimate each integral in (3.14). Since $\eta_R = 1$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{2R}$, we have

$$\iint_{X_R^1} \frac{|u_n(x)|^2|\eta_R(x)-\eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dxdy = 0. \tag{3.15}$$

Let $k > 4$. Clearly, we have

$$X_R^2 = (\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{2R}) \times B_{2R} = ((\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus B_{kR}) \times B_{2R}) \cup ((B_{kR} \setminus B_{2R}) \times B_{2R})$$

Let us observe that, if $(x, y) \in (\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus B_{kR}) \times B_{2R}$, then

$$|x-y| \geq |x| - |y| \geq |x| - 2R > \frac{|x|}{2}.$$

Therefore, taking into account $0 \le \eta_R \le 1$, $|\nabla \eta_R| \le \frac{C}{R}$ and applying Hölder inequality, we can see

$$\begin{aligned}
&\iint_{X_R^2} \frac{|u_n(x)|^2|\eta_R(x)-\eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}dxdy \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}\setminus B_{kR}}\int_{B_{2R}} \frac{|u_n(x)|^2|\eta_R(x)-\eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}dxdy + \int_{B_{kR}\setminus B_{2R}}\int_{B_{2R}} \frac{|u_n(x)|^2|\eta_R(x)-\eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}dxdy \\
&\le 2^{2+N+2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_{kR}}\int_{B_{2R}} \frac{|u_n(x)|^2}{|x|^{N+2s}}\,dxdy + \frac{C}{R^2}\int_{B_{kR}\setminus B_{2R}}\int_{B_{2R}} \frac{|u_n(x)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2(s-1)}}\,dxdy \\
&\le CR^N\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_{kR}} \frac{|u_n(x)|^2}{|x|^{N+2s}}\,dx + \frac{C}{R^2}(kR)^{2(1-s)}\int_{B_{kR}\setminus B_{2R}}|u_n(x)|^2dx \\
&\le CR^N\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_{kR}}|u_n(x)|^{2_s^*}dx\right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_{kR}}\frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{N^2}{2s}+N}}\,dx\right)^{\frac{2s}{N}} + \frac{Ck^{2(1-s)}}{R^{2s}}\int_{B_{kR}\setminus B_{2R}}|u_n(x)|^2dx \\
&\le \frac{C}{k^N}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_{kR}}|u_n(x)|^{2_s^*}dx\right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}} + \frac{Ck^{2(1-s)}}{R^{2s}}\int_{B_{kR}\setminus B_{2R}}|u_n(x)|^2dx \\
&\le \frac{C}{k^N} + \frac{Ck^{2(1-s)}}{R^{2s}}\int_{B_{kR}\setminus B_{2R}}|u_n(x)|^2dx. \qquad (3.16)
\end{aligned}$$

Now, fix $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$, and we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\iint_{X_R^3} \frac{|u_n(x)|^2|\eta_R(x)-\eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\,dxdy \\
&= \int_{B_{2R}\setminus B_{\varepsilon R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(x)|^2|\eta_R(x)-\eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\,dxdy + \int_{B_{\varepsilon R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(x)|^2|\eta_R(x)-\eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\,dxdy. \qquad (3.17)
\end{aligned}$$

Let us estimate the first integral in (3.17). Then,

$$\int_{B_{2R}\setminus B_{\varepsilon R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\cap\{y:|x-y|<R\}} \frac{|u_n(x)|^2|\eta_R(x)-\eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\,dxdy \le \frac{C}{R^{2s}}\int_{B_{2R}\setminus B_{\varepsilon R}}|u_n(x)|^2dx$$

and

$$\int_{B_{2R}\setminus B_{\varepsilon R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\cap\{y:|x-y|\ge R\}} \frac{|u_n(x)|^2|\eta_R(x)-\eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\,dxdy \le \frac{C}{R^{2s}}\int_{B_{2R}\setminus B_{\varepsilon R}}|u_n(x)|^2dx$$

from which we have

$$\int_{B_{2R}\setminus B_{\varepsilon R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(x)|^2|\eta_R(x)-\eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\,dxdy \le \frac{C}{R^{2s}}\int_{B_{2R}\setminus B_{\varepsilon R}}|u_n(x)|^2dx. \qquad (3.18)$$

Now, by using the definition of η_R, $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$, and $\eta_R \le 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{\varepsilon R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(x)|^2|\eta_R(x)-\eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\,dxdy &= \int_{B_{\varepsilon R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_R} \frac{|u_n(x)|^2|\eta_R(x)-\eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\,dxdy \\
&\le 4\int_{B_{\varepsilon R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_R}\frac{|u_n(x)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\,dxdy \\
&\le C\int_{B_{\varepsilon R}}|u_n|^2dx\int_{(1-\varepsilon)R}^{\infty}\frac{1}{r^{1+2s}}dr \\
&= \frac{C}{[(1-\varepsilon)R]^{2s}}\int_{B_{\varepsilon R}}|u_n|^2dx \qquad (3.19)
\end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that if $(x,y)\in B_{\varepsilon R}\times(\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_R)$, then $|x-y|>(1-\varepsilon)R$.
Taking into account (3.17), (3.18) and (3.19) we deduce

$$\iint_{X_R^3}\frac{|u_n(x)|^2|\eta_R(x)-\eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\,dxdy \le \frac{C}{R^{2s}}\int_{B_{2R}\setminus B_{\varepsilon R}}|u_n(x)|^p dx+\frac{C}{[(1-\varepsilon)R]^{2s}}\int_{B_{\varepsilon R}}|u_n(x)|^2dx. \tag{3.20}$$

Putting together (3.14), (3.15), (3.16) and (3.20), we can infer

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}}\frac{|u_n(x)|^2|\eta_R(x)-\eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\,dxdy \le \frac{C}{k^N}+\frac{Ck^{2(1-s)}}{R^{2s}}\int_{B_{kR}\setminus B_{2R}}|u_n(x)|^2dx+\frac{C}{R^{2s}}\int_{B_{2R}\setminus B_{\varepsilon R}}|u_n(x)|^2dx+\frac{C}{[(1-\varepsilon)R]^{2s}}\int_{B_{\varepsilon R}}|u_n(x)|^2dx. \tag{3.21}$$

Since $\{u_n\}_n$ is bounded in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, by using Theorem 2.1, we may assume that $u_n\to u$ in $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for some $u\in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then, taking the limit as $n\to\infty$ in (3.21), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_{n\to\infty}\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}}\frac{|u_n(x)|^2|\eta_R(x)-\eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\,dxdy\\
&\le \frac{C}{k^N}+\frac{Ck^{2(1-s)}}{R^{2s}}\int_{B_{kR}\setminus B_{2R}}|u(x)|^2dx+\frac{C}{R^{2s}}\int_{B_{2R}\setminus B_{\varepsilon R}}|u(x)|^2dx+\frac{C}{[(1-\varepsilon)R]^{2s}}\int_{B_{\varepsilon R}}|u(x)|^2dx\\
&\le \frac{C}{k^N}+Ck^2\left(\int_{B_{kR}\setminus B_{2R}}|u(x)|^{2_s^*}dx\right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}}+C\left(\int_{B_{2R}\setminus B_{\varepsilon R}}|u(x)|^{2_s^*}dx\right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}}+C\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}\right)^{2s}\left(\int_{B_{\varepsilon R}}|u(x)|^{2_s^*}dx\right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}},
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last passage we use Hölder inequality.
Since $u\in L^{2_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $k>4$ and $\varepsilon\in(0,1)$, we obtain

$$\limsup_{R\to\infty}\int_{B_{kR}\setminus B_{2R}}|u(x)|^{2_s^*}dx=\limsup_{R\to\infty}\int_{B_{2R}\setminus B_{\varepsilon R}}|u(x)|^{2_s^*}dx=0.$$

Choosing $\varepsilon=\frac{1}{k}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_{R\to\infty}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}}\frac{|u_n(x)|^2|\eta_R(x)-\eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\,dxdy\\
&\le \lim_{k\to\infty}\limsup_{R\to\infty}\Big[\frac{C}{k^N}+Ck^2\left(\int_{B_{kR}\setminus B_{2R}}|u(x)|^{2_s^*}dx\right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}}+C\left(\int_{B_{2R}\setminus B_{\frac{1}{k}R}}|u(x)|^{2_s^*}dx\right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}}\\
&+C\left(\frac{1}{k-1}\right)^{2s}\left(\int_{B_{\frac{1}{k}R}}|u(x)|^{2_s^*}dx\right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}}\Big]\\
&\le \lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{C}{k^N}+C\left(\frac{1}{k-1}\right)^{2s}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u(x)|^{2_s^*}dx\right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}}=0
\end{aligned}$$

that is (3.13) holds.
Then for each $\delta>0$, there exists $R>0$ sufficiently large such that $\Lambda_\varepsilon\subset B_R$ and satisfying

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_R}|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u_n|^2+|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}v_n|^2+V(\varepsilon x)u_n^2+W(\varepsilon x)v_n^2\,dx\le\delta. \tag{3.22}$$

By using (3.22) and (3.5) of Lemma 3.1, we can see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_R} u_n H_u(\varepsilon x, u_n, v_n) + v_n H_v(\varepsilon x, u_n, v_n)\,dx \le \frac{\delta}{4}, \tag{3.23}$$

for any n big enough. On the other hand, taking R larger if necessary, we can suppose that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_R} u H_u(\varepsilon x, u, v) + v H_v(\varepsilon x, u, v)\,dx \le \frac{\delta}{4}. \tag{3.24}$$

Since $\delta > 0$ is arbitrary, we can see that (3.23) and (3.24) yield

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_R} u_n H_u(\varepsilon x, u_n, v_n) + v_n H_v(\varepsilon x, u_n, v_n)\,dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_R} u H_u(\varepsilon x, u, v) + v H_v(\varepsilon x, u, v)\,dx \tag{3.25}$$

as $n \to \infty$. Now, observing that B_R is bounded, we can use the dominated convergence theorem and the strong convergence in $L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to see that

$$\int_{B_R} u_n H_u(\varepsilon x, u_n, v_n) + v_n H_v(\varepsilon x, u_n, v_n)\,dx \to \int_{B_R} u H_u(\varepsilon x, u, v) + v H_v(\varepsilon x, u, v)\,dx \tag{3.26}$$

as $n \to \infty$. Putting together $\langle \mathcal{J}'_\varepsilon(u_n, v_n), (u_n, v_n)\rangle = o_n(1)$, (3.10), (3.25) and (3.26), we can infer that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|(u_n, v_n)\|^2_\varepsilon = \|(u, v)\|^2_\varepsilon$$

from where it follows that $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ converges strongly to (u, v).

□

Then, by using Mountain Pass Theorem [7], there exists $(u, v) \in \mathbb{H}_\varepsilon \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(u, v) = c_\varepsilon \text{ and } \mathcal{J}'_\varepsilon(u, v) = 0,$$

where

$$c_\varepsilon = \inf_{\gamma\in\Gamma_\varepsilon} \max_{t\in[0,1]} \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(\gamma(t)) > 0$$

and

$$\Gamma_\varepsilon = \{\gamma \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{H}_\varepsilon) : \gamma(0) = 0, \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(\gamma(1)) \le 0\}.$$

Finally we prove the following result.

Lemma 3.3. *If (u, v) is a critical point of $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon$, we have that $u, v \ge 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$.*

Proof. Since (u, v) is a critical point of $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon$, we know that for any $(\phi, \psi) \in \mathbb{H}_\varepsilon \times \mathbb{H}_\varepsilon$ it holds

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(\phi(x) - \phi(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} + \frac{(v(x) - v(y))(\psi(x) - \psi(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} + V(\varepsilon x)u\phi + W(\varepsilon x)v\psi\,dx$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi H_u(\varepsilon x, u, v) + v H_v(\varepsilon x, u, v)\,dx. \tag{3.27}$$

Taking $\phi = u^-$ and $\psi = v^-$ in (3.27), where $x^- = \min\{x, 0\}$, and recalling that $(x - y)(x^- - y^-) \ge |x^- - y^-|^2$ for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, we can see that

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u^-(x) - u^-(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} + \frac{|v^-(x) - v^-(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} + V(\varepsilon x)(u^-)^2 + W(\varepsilon x)(v^-)^2\,dx$$
$$\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^- H_u(\varepsilon x, u, v) + v^- H_v(\varepsilon x, u, v)\,dx. \tag{3.28}$$

Now, we can note that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Lambda$,

$$H_u(x,u,v) = \frac{\chi'(|(u,v)|)uQ(u,v)}{\sqrt{u^2+v^2}} + \chi(|(u,v)|)Q_u(u,v) - \frac{\chi'(|(u,v)|)Au(u^2+v^2)}{\sqrt{u^2+v^2}} + (1-\chi(|(u,v)|))2uA$$

and

$$H_v(x,u,v) = \frac{\chi'(|(u,v)|)vQ(u,v)}{\sqrt{u^2+v^2}} + \chi(|(u,v)|)Q_v(u,v) - \frac{\chi'(|(u,v)|)Av(u^2+v^2)}{\sqrt{u^2+v^2}} + (1-\chi(|(u,v)|))2vA.$$

Then, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
&u^- H_u(x,u,v) + v^- H_v(x,u,v)\\
&= \frac{\chi'(|(u,v)|)(u^-)^2Q(u,v)}{\sqrt{u^2+v^2}} - \frac{\chi'(|(u,v)|)A(u^-)^2(u^2+v^2)}{\sqrt{u^2+v^2}} + (1-\chi(|(u,v)|))2(u^-)^2A\\
&+ \frac{\chi'(|(u,v)|)(v^-)^2Q(u,v)}{\sqrt{u^2+v^2}} - \frac{\chi'(|(u,v)|)A(v^-)^2(u^2+v^2)}{\sqrt{u^2+v^2}} + (1-\chi(|(u,v)|))2(v^-)^2A\\
&= \frac{\chi'(|(u,v)|)[(u^-)^2+(v^-)^2]Q(u,v)}{\sqrt{u^2+v^2}} - \frac{\chi'(|(u,v)|)A[(u^-)^2+(v^-)^2](u^2+v^2)}{\sqrt{u^2+v^2}}\\
&+ (1-\chi(|(u,v)|))2[(u^-)^2+(v^-)^2]A. \qquad (3.29)
\end{aligned}$$

Taking into account (3.29) and the definitions of A and χ, we can find a constant $C>0$ such that

$$\left|u^- H_u(x,u,v) + v^- H_v(x,u,v)\right| \le CA[(u^-)^2+(v^-)^2] \text{ for any } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Lambda. \qquad (3.30)$$

Recalling that $A \to 0$ as $a \to 0$, we can see that (3.28) and (3.30) imply

$$\begin{aligned}
&\iint_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u^-(x)-u^-(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} + \frac{|v^-(x)-v^-(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} + V(\varepsilon x)(u^-)^2 + W(\varepsilon x)(v^-)^2\,dx\\
&\qquad \le C\int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon} u^- Q_u(u,v) + v^- Q_v(u,v)\,dx \qquad (3.31)
\end{aligned}$$

for any a sufficiently small. From the definition of Q, we know that $Q_u(u^-,v) = 0 = Q_v(u,v^-)$, so we get

$$\int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon} u^- Q_u(u,v) + v^- Q_v(u,v)\,dx = \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon} u^- Q_u(u^-,v) + v^- Q_v(u,v^-)\,dx = 0.$$

By using (3.31), we can infer that $\|(u^-,v^-)\|_\varepsilon^2 = 0$, that is $u^- = v^- = 0$.

□

4. COMPACTNESS PROPERTIES

This section is devoted to prove compactness properties related to the functional $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon$. Since we are interested to get multiple critical points, we work with the functional $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon$ restricted to the Nehari manifold $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$. We begin proving some useful properties of $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$.

Lemma 4.1. *There exist positive constants a_1, δ such that, for each $a \in (0,a_1)$, $(u,v) \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$, there hold*

$$\int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon} Q(u,v)\,dx \ge \delta \qquad (4.1)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\Lambda_\varepsilon} (V(\varepsilon x)u^2 + W(\varepsilon x)v^2)\,dx \le 2p\int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon} Q(u,v)\,dx. \qquad (4.2)$$

Proof. By using (3.5) and $(Q2)$, and applying Theorem 2.1, we can see that for any $(u,v)\in\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ it holds

$$\begin{aligned}\|(u,v)\|_\varepsilon^2 &= \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon}(uQ_u+vQ_v)\,dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\Lambda_\varepsilon}(uH_u+vH_v)\,dx\\ &\le C\int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon}(|u|^p+|v|^p)\,dx+\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(V(\varepsilon\,x)u^2+W(\varepsilon\,x)v^2)\,dx\\ &\le C\|(u,v)\|_\varepsilon^p+\frac{1}{2}\|(u,v)\|_\varepsilon^2\end{aligned}$$

which implies that there is $\hat\delta>0$ such that

$$\|(u,v)\|_\varepsilon\ge\hat\delta\ \text{ for any }(u,v)\in\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon.$$

Thus, by using (1.2) and (3.5) (with $k=2$), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^2\le\|(u,v)\|_\varepsilon^2 &= \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon}(uQ_u+vQ_v)\,dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\Lambda_\varepsilon}(uH_u+vH_v)\,dx\\ &\le p\int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon}Q(u,v)\,dx+\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\Lambda_\varepsilon}(V(\varepsilon\,x)u^2+W(\varepsilon\,x)v^2)\,dx,\end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\frac{\hat\delta^2}{2}\le\frac{1}{2}\|(u,v)\|_\varepsilon^2\le p\int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon}Q(u,v)\,dx.$$

Therefore, (4.1) holds with $\delta=\frac{\hat\delta^2}{2p}$.
Now, taking into account $(u,v)\in\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$, (1.2) and (3.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\Lambda_\varepsilon}(V(\varepsilon\,x)u^2+W(\varepsilon\,x)v^2)\,dx &\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\Lambda_\varepsilon}(uH_u+vH_v)\,dx+\int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon}(uQ_u+vQ_v)\,dx\\ &\le \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\Lambda_\varepsilon}(V(\varepsilon\,x)u^2+W(\varepsilon\,x)v^2)\,dx+p\int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon}Q(u,v)\,dx,\end{aligned}$$

which implies that (4.2) is satisfied. □

Now, we aim to show that the functional $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon$ restricted to $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$, verifies the Palais-Smale condition. To achieve our aim, we prove the following technical lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\phi_\varepsilon : X_\varepsilon\to\mathbb{R}$ be given by*

$$\phi_\varepsilon(u,v):=\|(u,v)\|_\varepsilon^2-\int(uH_u(\varepsilon\,x,u,v)+vH_v(\varepsilon\,x,u,v))\,dx.$$

Then, there exist $a_2,b>0$ such that, for each $a\in(0,a_2)$,

$$\langle\phi_\varepsilon'(u,v),(u,v)\rangle\le-b<0\ \text{ for each }(u,v)\in\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon.\tag{4.3}$$

Proof. Given $(u,v)\in\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$, we can use the definition of H, (1.2) and (1.3) to get

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\phi_\varepsilon'(u,v),(u,v)\rangle &= \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon}(uQ_u+vQ_v)-(u^2Q_{uu}+v^2Q_{vv}+2uvQ_{uv})\,dx\\ &\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\Lambda_\varepsilon}(uH_u+vH_v)\,dx-\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\Lambda_\varepsilon}(u^2H_{uu}+v^2H_{vv}+2uvH_{uv})\,dx\\ &= -p(p-2)\int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon}Q(u,v)\,dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\Lambda_\varepsilon}D_1\,dx-\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\Lambda_\varepsilon}D_2\,dx\end{aligned}\tag{4.4}$$

where

$$D_1:=(uH_u+vH_v)\ \text{ and }\ D_2:=(u^2Q_{uu}+v^2Q_{vv}+2uvQ_{uv}).$$

We set $|z| = \sqrt{u^2+v^2}$. By using the definition of $\hat{Q}$, η and (1.2) again, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} |D_1| &= \left|\eta'\frac{Q}{|z|} + p\eta\frac{Q}{|z|^2} - A\eta'|z| + 2A(1-\eta)\right||z|^2 \\ &\leq \left(\frac{C}{a}A5a + pA + A\frac{C}{a}5a + 4A\right)|z|^2 \\ &\leq C_1 A|z|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $A \to 0$ as $a \to 0^+$, the last inequality together with (H_3), implies that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\Lambda_\varepsilon} (uH_u + vH_v)\,dx \leq o(1)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\Lambda_\varepsilon} (V(\varepsilon\,x)u^2 + W(\varepsilon\,x)v^2)\,dx, \tag{4.5}$$

where $o(1) \to 0$ as $a \to 0^+$.
Now, we aim to estimate the last integral in (4.4). Firstly, we observe that

$$D_2 = -A\eta'(|z|^2 + 4|z|)|z|^2 + 2A(1-\eta)|z|^2 + \eta''Q|z||z|^2 + D_3 + D_4, \tag{4.6}$$

with

$$D_3 := \frac{2\eta'}{|z|}(u^3Q_u + v^3Q_v + u^2vQ_v + uv^2Q_u)$$

and

$$D_4 := \eta(u^2Q_{uu} + v^2Q_{vv} + 2uvQ_{uv}).$$

By using (3.2), we can see that

$$|A\eta'(|z|^2 + 4|z|)|z|^2| \leq A\frac{C}{a}(25a^2 + 20a)|z|^2 = o(1)|z|^2.$$

On the other hand, from the definition of A, we have

$$2A(1-\eta)|z|^2 = o(1)|z|^2 \ \text{ and } \ \eta''Q|z||z|^2 = o(1)|z|^2.$$

From (1.2), it follows that

$$|D_3| = |4p\eta'Q||z| \leq 4p\frac{C}{a}A|z|^2 5a = 20pCA|z|^2 = o(1)|z|^2,$$

and (1.3) implies that

$$D_4 = \eta(u^2Q_{uu} + v^2Q_{vv} + 2uvQ_{uv}) = \eta p(p-1)Q \geq 0.$$

Taking into account these estimates, we deduce that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\Lambda_\varepsilon} (u^2H_{uu} + v^2H_{vv} + 2uvH_{uv})\,dx \leq o(1)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\Lambda_\varepsilon} (V(\varepsilon\,x)u^2 + W(\varepsilon\,x)v^2)\,dx.$$

Thus, by using (4.5) and (4.4), we get

$$\langle \phi_\varepsilon'(u,v),(u,v)\rangle \leq -p(p-2)\int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon} Q(u,v)\,dx + o(1)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\Lambda_\varepsilon} (V(\varepsilon\,x)u^2 + W(\varepsilon\,x)v^2)\,dx.$$

By applying Lemma 4.1, we have for a small enough,

$$\langle \phi_\varepsilon'(u,v),(u,v)\rangle \leq (-p(p-2) + o(1))\int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon} Q(u,v)\,dx \leq -\frac{p(p-2)}{2}\delta = -b < 0.$$

□

At this point, we are able to deduce the following compactness result.

Proposition 4.1. *The functional $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon$ restricted to $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ satisfies $(PS)_c$ for each $c \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. Let $(u_n, v_n) \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ be such that

$$\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(u_n, v_n) \to c \ \text{ and } \ \| \mathcal{J}'_\varepsilon(u_n, v_n)\|_* = o_n(1),$$

where $o_n(1)$ goes to zero when $n \to \infty$. Then, there exists $\{\lambda_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$\mathcal{J}'_\varepsilon(u_n, v_n) = \lambda_n \phi'_\varepsilon(u_n, v_n) + o_n(1),$$

with ϕ_ε as in Lemma 4.2. Due to the fact that $(u_n, v_n) \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$, we get

$$0 = \langle \mathcal{J}'_\varepsilon(u_n, v_n), (u_n, v_n)\rangle = \lambda_n \langle \phi'_\varepsilon(u_n, v_n), (u_n, v_n)\rangle + o_n(1)\|(u_n, v_n)\|_\varepsilon. \tag{4.7}$$

Proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 3.2, we can see that there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\|(u_n, v_n)\|_\varepsilon \le C \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

On the other hand, from Lemma 4.2, we may assume that $\langle \phi'_\varepsilon(u_n, v_n), (u_n, v_n)\rangle \to \ell < 0$.
Thus, in view of (4.7), we can deduce that $\lambda_n \to 0$ and that $\mathcal{J}'_\varepsilon(u_n, v_n) \to 0$ in the dual space of $\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon$. By applying Lemma 3.2, we can infer that (u_n, v_n) admits a convergent subsequence. □

5. Barycenter map and multiplicity of solutions to (3.7)

In this section, our main purpose is to apply the Ljusternik-Schnirelmann category theory to prove a multiplicity result for system (3.7). In order to accomplish our goal, first we give some useful lemmas. We start proving the following result.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\varepsilon_n \to 0^+$ and $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon_n}$ be such that $\mathcal{J}_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n, v_n) \to C^*$. Then there exists $\{\tilde{y}_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that the translated sequence*

$$(\tilde{u}_n(x), \tilde{v}_n(x)) := (u_n(x + \tilde{y}_n), v_n(x + \tilde{y}_n))$$

has a subsequence which converges in $\mathbb{H}_0$. Moreover, up to a subsequence, $\{y_n\} := \{\varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n\}$ is such that $y_n \to y \in M$.

Proof. Since $\langle \mathcal{J}'_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n, v_n), (u_n, v_n)\rangle = 0$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n, v_n) \to C^*$, it is easy to see that $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ is bounded. Let us observe that $\|(u_n, v_n)\|_{\varepsilon_n} \not\to 0$ since $C^* > 0$. Therefore, arguing as in [9], we can find a sequence $\{\tilde{y}_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and constants $R, \gamma > 0$ such that

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \int_{B_R(y_n)} (|u_n|^2 + |v_n|^2)dx \ge \gamma,$$

which implies that

$$(\tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n) \rightharpoonup (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \text{ weakly in } \mathbb{H}_0,$$

where $(\tilde{u}_n(x), \tilde{v}_n(x)) := (u_n(x + \tilde{y}_n), v_n(x + \tilde{y}_n))$ and $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \neq (0, 0)$.
Let $\{t_n\} \subset (0, +\infty)$ be such that $(\hat{u}_n, \hat{v}_n) := (t_n\tilde{u}_n, t_n\tilde{v}_n) \in \mathcal{N}_{x_0}$, and set $y_n := \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n$.
By using the definition of H and $(H3)$, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} C^* \le \mathcal{J}_{x_0}(\hat{u}_n, \hat{v}_n) &= \frac{t_n^2}{2}\|(u_n, v_n)\|^2_{x_0} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(t_n u_n, t_n v_n)\, dx \\ &\le \frac{t_n^2}{2}\|(u_n, v_n)\|^2_{\varepsilon_n} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H(\varepsilon\, x, t_n u_n, t_n v_n)\, dx \\ &= \mathcal{J}_{\varepsilon_n}(t_n u_n, t_n v_n) \le \mathcal{J}_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n, v_n) = C^* + o_n(1), \end{aligned}$$

which gives $\mathcal{J}_{x_0}(\hat{u}_n, \hat{v}_n) \to C^*$.
Now, the sequence $\{t_n\}$ is bounded since $\{(\tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n)\}$ and $\{(\hat{u}_n, \hat{v}_n)\}$ are bounded and $(\tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n) \not\to 0$. Therefore, up to a subsequence, $t_n \to t_0 \ge 0$. Indeed $t_0 > 0$. Otherwise, if $t_0 = 0$, from the boundedness of $\{(\tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n)\}$, we get $(\hat{u}_n, \hat{v}_n) = t_n(\tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n) \to (0, 0)$, that is $\mathcal{J}_{x_0}(\hat{u}_n, \hat{v}_n) \to 0$ in contrast with the fact $C^* > 0$. Thus $t_0 > 0$, and up to a subsequence, we have $(\hat{u}_n, \hat{v}_n) \rightharpoonup t_0(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) = (\hat{u}, \hat{v}) \neq 0$ weakly in $\mathbb{H}_0$. Hence, it holds

$$\mathcal{J}_{x_0}(\hat{u}_n, \hat{v}_n) \to C^* \quad \text{ and } \quad (\hat{u}_n, \hat{v}_n) \rightharpoonup (\hat{u}, \hat{v}) \text{ weakly in } \mathbb{H}_0\,.$$

From Theorem 2.2, we deduce that $(\hat{u}_n, \hat{v}_n) \to (\hat{u}, \hat{v})$ in $\mathbb{H}_0$, that is $(\tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n) \to (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$ in $\mathbb{H}_0$.
Now, we show that $\{y_n\}$ has a subsequence such that $y_n \to y \in M$. Assume by contradiction that $\{y_n\}$ is not bounded, that is there exists a subsequence, still denoted by $\{y_n\}$, such that $|y_n| \to +\infty$. Since $(u_n, v_n) \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon_n}$, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} \tilde{u}_n|^2 + |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} \tilde{v}_n|^2 + V(\varepsilon_n x + y_n)|\tilde{u}_n|^2 + W(\varepsilon_n x + y_n)|\tilde{v}_n|^2 dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{u}_n H_u(\varepsilon_n x + y_n, \tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n) + \tilde{v}_n H_v(\varepsilon_n x + y_n, \tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n)\, dx.\end{aligned}$$

Take $R > 0$ such that $\Lambda \subset B_R(0)$. Since we may assume that $|y_n| > 2R$, for any $x \in B_{R/\varepsilon_n}(0)$ we get $|\varepsilon_n x + y_n| \geq |y_n| - |\varepsilon_n x| > R$. Then, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{u}_n H_u(\varepsilon_n x + y_n, \tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n) + \tilde{v}_n H_v(\varepsilon_n x + y_n, \tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n)\, dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_{R/\varepsilon_n}(0)} V(\varepsilon_n x + y_n)|\tilde{u}_n|^2 + W(\varepsilon_n x + y_n)|\tilde{v}_n|^2 dx \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{R/\varepsilon_n}(0)} \tilde{u}_n H_u(\varepsilon_n x + y_n, \tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n) + \tilde{v}_n H_v(\varepsilon_n x + y_n, \tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n)\, dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_{R/\varepsilon_n}(0)} V(\varepsilon_n x + y_n)|\tilde{u}_n|^2 + W(\varepsilon_n x + y_n)|\tilde{v}_n|^2 dx + o_n(1),\end{aligned}$$

where we used the strong convergence of $(\tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n)$ and $|\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{R/\varepsilon_n}(0)| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. By using $(H3)$, we get

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \|(\tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n)\|^2_{x_0} = o_n(1),$$

which is impossible in view of $(\hat{u}_n, \hat{v}_n) \to (\hat{u}, \hat{v}) \neq 0$. Thus $\{y_n\}$ is bounded and, up to a subsequence, we may assume that $y_n \to y$. If $y \notin \overline{\Lambda}$, then there exists $r > 0$ such that $y_n \in B_{r/2}(u) \subset \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Lambda}$ for any n large enough. Reasoning as before, we get a contradiction. Hence $y \in \overline{\Lambda}$.
Now, we prove that $y \in M$. Taking into account Lemma 2.2, it is enough to prove that $C(y) = C^*$. Assume by contradiction that $C(y) < C^*$. Since $(\hat{u}_n, \hat{v}_n) \to (\hat{u}, \hat{v})$ strongly in $\mathbb{H}_0$, by Fatou Lemma we have

$$\begin{aligned}C^* < C(y) &= \mathcal{J}_y(\hat{u}, \hat{v}) \\ &= \liminf_{n\to\infty} \Big\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} \hat{u}_n|^2 + |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} \hat{v}_n|^2 dx \right) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(\hat{u}_n, \hat{v}_n)\, dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (V(\varepsilon_n x + y_n)|\hat{u}_n|^2 + W(\varepsilon_n x + y_n)|\hat{v}_n|^2)\, dx \Big\} \\ &\leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{J}_{\varepsilon_n}(t_n u_n, t_n v_n) \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{J}_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n, v_n) = C^*\end{aligned}$$

which gives a contradiction. □

Now, we aim to relate the number of positive solutions of (3.7) to the topology of the set M. For this reason, we take $\delta > 0$ such that

$$M_\delta = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : dist(x, M) \leq \delta\} \subset \Lambda,$$

and we choose $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, [0, 1])$ a function satisfying $\psi(t) = 1$ if $0 \leq t \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$ and $\psi(t) = 0$ if $t \geq \delta$. For any $y \in M$, we define

$$\Psi_{i,\varepsilon,y}(x) = \psi(|\varepsilon x - y|) w_i \left(\frac{\varepsilon x - y}{\varepsilon} \right) \quad i = 1, 2$$

and $t_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\max_{t\geq 0} \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(t\Psi_{1,\varepsilon,y}, t\Psi_{1,\varepsilon,y}) = \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(t_\varepsilon\Psi_{1,\varepsilon,y}, t_\varepsilon\Psi_{2,\varepsilon,y}),$$

where $(w_1, w_2) \in \mathbb{H}_0$ is a solution to the autonomous system (2.2) with $\xi = x_0$, such that $w_1, w_2 > 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$ and $\mathcal{J}_{x_0}(w_1, w_2) = C(x_0) = C^*$ (such solution there exists in view of Theorem 3.1 in [9]).

Finally, we consider $\Phi_\varepsilon : M \to \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ defined by setting

$$\Phi_\varepsilon(y) = (t_\varepsilon\Psi_{1,\varepsilon,y}, t_\varepsilon\Psi_{2,\varepsilon,y}).$$

Lemma 5.2. *The functional Φ_ε satisfies the following limit*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(\Phi_\varepsilon(y)) = C^* \text{ uniformly in } y \in M. \tag{5.1}$$

Proof. Assume by contradiction that there there exists $\delta_0 > 0$, $(y_n) \subset M$ and $\varepsilon_n \to 0$ such that

$$|\,\mathcal{J}_{\varepsilon_n}(\Phi_{\varepsilon_n}(y_n)) - C^*| \geq \delta_0. \tag{5.2}$$

We first show that $\lim_{n\to\infty} t_{\varepsilon_n} < \infty$. Let us observe that by using the change of variable $z = \frac{\varepsilon_n x - y_n}{\varepsilon_n}$, if $z \in B_{\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon_n}}(0) \subset M_\delta \subset \Lambda$, it follows that $\varepsilon_n z \in B_\delta(0)$ and $\varepsilon_n x + y_n \in B_\delta(y_n) \subset M_\delta$.

Then, recalling that $H = Q$ on Λ and $\psi(t) = 0$ for $t \geq \delta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(\Phi_{\varepsilon_n}(y_n)) = {} & \frac{t^2_{\varepsilon_n}}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}(\psi(|\,\varepsilon_n z|w_1(z)))|^2\,dz + \frac{t^2_{\varepsilon_n}}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}(\psi(|\,\varepsilon_n z|w_2(z)))|^2\,dz \\ & + \frac{t^2_{\varepsilon_n}}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon_n z + y_n)(\psi(|\,\varepsilon_n z|w_1(z))^2\,dz + \frac{t^2_{\varepsilon_n}}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} W(\varepsilon_n z + y_n)(\psi(|\,\varepsilon_n x|w_2(z))^2\,dz \\ & - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(t_{\varepsilon_n}\psi(|\,\varepsilon_n z|)w_1(z), t_{\varepsilon_n}\psi(|\,\varepsilon_n z|)w_2(z))\,dz. \end{aligned}\tag{5.3}$$

Now, let assume that $t_{\varepsilon_n} \to \infty$. From the definition of t_{ε_n}, $(Q1)$ and (1.2), we get

$$\|(\Psi_{1,\varepsilon_n,y_n}, \Psi_{2,\varepsilon_n,y_n})\|^2_{\varepsilon_n} = pt^{p-2}_{\varepsilon_n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(\psi(|\,\varepsilon_n z|)w_1(z), \psi(|\,\varepsilon_n z|)w_2(z))\,dz \tag{5.4}$$

Since $\psi = 1$ in $B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(0)$ and $B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(0) \subset B_{\frac{\delta}{2\,\varepsilon_n}}(0)$ for n big enough, and w_1, w_2 are continuous and positive in $\mathbb{R}^N$ we obtain

$$\|(\Psi_{1,\varepsilon_n,y_n}, \Psi_{2,\varepsilon_n,y_n})\|^2_{\varepsilon_n} \geq pt^{p-2}_{\varepsilon_n}\int_{B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(0)} Q(w_1(z), w_2(z))\,dz \geq C_{\delta,p}t^{p-2}_{\varepsilon_n}, \tag{5.5}$$

for some $C_{\delta,p} > 0$. Taking the limit as $n \to \infty$ in (5.5) we can deduce that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|(\Psi_{1,\varepsilon_n,y_n}, \Psi_{2,\varepsilon_n,y_n})\|^2_{\varepsilon_n} = \infty$$

which is a contradiction because of

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|(\Psi_{1,\varepsilon_n,y_n}, \Psi_{2,\varepsilon_n,y_n})\|^2_{\varepsilon_n} = \|(w_1, w_2)\|^2_{x_0} \in (0, \infty)$$

in view of the Dominated Convergence Theorem.

Thus, (t_{ε_n}) is bounded, and we can assume that $t_{\varepsilon_n} \to t_0 \geq 0$. Clearly, if $t_0 = 0$, by limitation of $\|(\Psi_{1,\varepsilon_n,y_n}, \Psi_{2,\varepsilon_n,y_n})\|^2_{\varepsilon_n}$, the growth assumptions on Q, and (5.4), we can deduce that $\|(\Psi_{1,\varepsilon_n,y_n}, \Psi_{2,\varepsilon_n,y_n})\|^2_{\varepsilon_n} \to 0$, which is impossible. Hence, $t_0 > 0$.

Now, by using $(Q2)$ and the Dominated Convergence Theorem, we can see that as $n \to \infty$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(\Psi_{1,\varepsilon_n,y_n}, \Psi_{2,\varepsilon_n,y_n})dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(w_1, w_2)\,dx.$$

Then, taking the limit as $n \to \infty$ in (5.4), we obtain

$$\|(w_1, w_2)\|^2_{x_0} = pt^{p-2}_0\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(w_1, w_2)\,dx.$$

By using the fact that $(w_1, w_2) \in \mathcal{N}_{x_0}$, we deduce that $t_0 = 1$. Moreover, from (5.3), we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(\Phi_{\varepsilon_n}(y_n)) = \mathcal{J}_{x_0}(w_1, w_2) = C^*,$$

which contradicts (5.2).

□

At this point, we are in the position to define the barycenter map. We take $\rho > 0$ such that $M_\delta \subset B_\rho$, and we consider $\Upsilon : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ defined by setting

$$\Upsilon(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } |x| < \rho \\ \frac{\rho x}{|x|} & \text{if } |x| \geq \rho. \end{cases}$$

We define the barycenter map $\beta_\varepsilon : \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon \to \mathbb{R}^N$ as follows

$$\beta_\varepsilon(u, v) = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Upsilon(\varepsilon\, x)(u^2(x) + v^2(x))dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2(x) + v^2(x)dx}.$$

Lemma 5.3. *The functional Φ_ε verifies the following limit*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \beta_\varepsilon(\Phi_\varepsilon(y)) = y \text{ uniformly in } y \in M. \tag{5.6}$$

Proof. Suppose by contradiction that there exists $\delta_0 > 0$, $(y_n) \subset M$ and $\varepsilon_n \to 0$ such that

$$|\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(\Phi_{\varepsilon_n}(y_n)) - y_n| \geq \delta_0. \tag{5.7}$$

By using the definitions of $\Phi_{\varepsilon_n}(z_n)$, β_{ε_n}, η and the change of variable $x \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon_n\, x - y_n}{\varepsilon_n}$, we can see that

$$\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(\Psi_{\varepsilon_n}(y_n)) = y_n + \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [\Upsilon(\varepsilon_n\, x + y_n) - y_n]|\eta(|\,\varepsilon_n\, x|)|^2(|w_1(x)|^2 + |w_2(x)|^2)\, dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\eta(|\,\varepsilon_n\, x|)|^2(|w_1(x)|^2 + |w_2(x)|^2)\, dx}.$$

Taking into account $(y_n) \subset M \subset B_\rho$ and the Dominated Convergence Theorem, we can infer that

$$|\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(\Phi_{\varepsilon_n}(y_n)) - y_n| = o_n(1)$$

which contradicts (5.7). □

At this point, we introduce a subset $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon$ of $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ by taking a function $h : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $h(\varepsilon) \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$, and setting

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon = \{(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon : \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(u, v) \leq C^* + h(\varepsilon)\}.$$

Fixed $y \in M$, we conclude from Lemma 5.2 that $h(\varepsilon) = |\,\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(\Phi_\varepsilon(y)) - C^*| \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Hence $\Phi_\varepsilon(y) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon$, and $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon \neq \emptyset$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Moreover, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 5.4.

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \sup_{(u,v)\in\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon} dist(\beta_\varepsilon(u, v), M_\delta) = 0.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $(u_n, v_n) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon$ such that

$$\sup_{(u,v)\in\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon_n}} \inf_{y\in M_\delta} |\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(u, v) - y| = \inf_{y\in M_\delta} |\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n, v_n) - y| + o_n(1).$$

Therefore, it is suffices to prove that there exists $(y_n) \subset M_\delta$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} |\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n, v_n) - y_n| = 0. \tag{5.8}$$

We note that $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon_n} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon_n}$, from which we deuce that

$$C^* \leq \inf_{(u,v)\in\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon_n}} \mathcal{J}_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n, v_n) \leq \mathcal{J}_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n, v_n) \leq C^* + h(\varepsilon_n).$$

This yields $\mathcal{J}_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n, v_n) \to C^*$. By using Lemma 5.1, there exists $(\tilde{y}_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $y_n = \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n \in M_\delta$ for n sufficiently large. By setting $(\tilde{u}_n(x), \tilde{v}_n(x)) = (u_n(\cdot + \tilde{y}_n), v_n(\cdot + \tilde{y}_n))$, we can see that

$$\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n, v_n) = y_n + \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [\Upsilon(\varepsilon_n x + y_n) - y_n](\tilde{u}_n^2 + \tilde{v}_n^2)\, dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\tilde{u}_n^2 + \tilde{v}_n^2)\, dx}.$$

Since $(\tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n) \to (u, v)$ in $\mathbb{H}_0$ and $\varepsilon_n x + y_n \to y \in M$, we deduce that $\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n, v_n) = y_n + o_n(1)$, that is (5.8) holds.

□

Now, we are ready to present the proof of the first multiplicity result related to (3.7).

Theorem 5.1. *For any $\delta > 0$ verifying $M_\delta \subset M$, there exists $\varepsilon_\delta > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_\delta)$, problem (3.7) has at least $cat_{M_\delta}(M)$ positive solutions.*

Proof. Given $\delta > 0$ such that $M_\delta \subset M$, we can apply Lemma 5.2, Lemma 5.3 and Lemma 5.4 to find $\varepsilon_\delta > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_\delta)$, the following diagram

$$M \overset{\Phi_\varepsilon}{\to} \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon \overset{\beta_\varepsilon}{\to} M_\delta$$

is well-defined and $\beta_\varepsilon \circ \Phi_\varepsilon$ is homotopically equivalent to the map $\iota : M \to M_\delta$. By using the definition of $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon$ and taking ε_δ sufficiently small, we may assume that $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon$ verifies the Palais-Smale condition in $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon$ (see Proposition 4.1). Therefore, standard Ljusternik-Schnirelmann theory [47] provides at least $cat_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon}(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon)$ critical points $(u_i, v_i) := (u_\varepsilon^i, v_\varepsilon^i)$ of $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon$ restricted to $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$. Using the arguments in [14], we can see that $cat_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon}(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon) \geq cat_{M_\delta}(M)$. Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 4.1, we can see that (u_i, v_i) is also a critical point of the unconstrained functional and therefore a solution of the problem (3.7).

□

6. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this last section we provide the proof of our main result.

Proof. Take $\delta > 0$ such that $M_\delta \subset \Lambda$. We begin proving that there exists $\tilde{\varepsilon}_\delta > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \tilde{\varepsilon}_\delta)$ and any solution $u_\varepsilon \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon$ of (3.7), it results

$$\|(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Lambda_\varepsilon)} < a. \tag{6.1}$$

Assume by contradiction that there exists $\varepsilon_n \to 0$, $(u_{\varepsilon_n}, v_{\varepsilon_n}) \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon_n}$ such that $\mathcal{J}'_{\varepsilon_n}(u_{\varepsilon_n}, v_{\varepsilon_n}) = 0$ and $\|(u_{\varepsilon_n}, v_{\varepsilon_n})\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Lambda_{\varepsilon_n})} \geq a$. Since $\mathcal{J}_{\varepsilon_n}(u_{\varepsilon_n}, v_{\varepsilon_n}) \leq C^* + h(\varepsilon_n)$ and $h(\varepsilon_n) \to 0$, we can argue as in the first part of the proof of Lemma 5.1, to deduce that $\mathcal{J}_{\varepsilon_n}(u_{\varepsilon_n}, v_{\varepsilon_n}) \to C^*$. Then, by using Lemma 5.1, we can find $(\tilde{y}_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $\varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n \to y \in M$.
Now, if we choose $r > 0$ such that $B_{2r}(y) \subset \Lambda$, we can see $B_{\frac{r}{\varepsilon_n}}(\frac{y}{\varepsilon_n}) \subset \Lambda_{\varepsilon_n}$. In particular, for any $z \in B_{\frac{r}{\varepsilon_n}}(\tilde{y}_n)$ there holds

$$\left| z - \frac{y}{\varepsilon_n} \right| \leq |z - \tilde{y}_n| + \left| \tilde{y}_n - \frac{y}{\varepsilon_n} \right| < \frac{2r}{\varepsilon_n} \text{ for } n \text{ sufficiently large.}$$

Therefore $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Lambda_{\varepsilon_n} \subset \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{\frac{r}{\varepsilon_n}}(\tilde{y}_n)$ for any n big enough.
Now, let us denote by $(\tilde{u}_n(x), \tilde{v}_n(x)) = (u_{\varepsilon_n}(x + \tilde{y}_n), v_{\varepsilon_n}(x + \tilde{y}_n))$ and $z_n = \tilde{u}_n + \tilde{v}_n$. Since z_n is a subsolution to

$$(-\Delta)^s z + \alpha z = g(\varepsilon x, z) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $|g(\varepsilon x, z)| \leq \varepsilon |z| + C_\varepsilon |z|^{p-1}$ (see Lemma 3.1), we can use a Moser iteration argument [4, 8, 10] and the fact that $(u_{\varepsilon_n}, v_{\varepsilon_n})$ is bounded in $\mathbb{H}_{\varepsilon_n}$, to prove that $z_n \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that

$$\|z_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq K \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In addition, $\tilde{u}_n \to u$ and $\tilde{v}_n \to v$ in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $q \in (2, 2_s^*)$, for some $(u, v) \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $q \in (2, 2_s^*)$.
By interpolation, we also have the following relations of limit in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $q \in (2, 2_s^*)$:

$$H_u(\varepsilon_n x + \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n, \tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n) \to Q_u(u, v)$$

and

$$H_v(\varepsilon_n x + \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n, \tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n) \to Q_v(u, v).$$

Since z_n satisfies

$$(-\Delta)^s z_n + z_n = \xi_n \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_n := H_u(\varepsilon_n x + \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n, \tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n) + H_v(\varepsilon_n x + \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n, \tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n)\\ - V(\varepsilon_n x + \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n)\tilde{u}_n - W(\varepsilon_n x + \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n)\tilde{v}_n + z_n,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\xi_n \to Q_u(u, v) + Q_v(u, v) - V(y)u - W(y)v + z \text{ in } L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

for any $q \in [2, 2_s^*)$, we can find $K_1 > 0$ such that

$$\|\xi_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \le K_1 \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence, $z_n(x) = (\mathcal{K} * \xi_n)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathcal{K}(x - t)\xi_n(t)\, dt$, where $\mathcal{K}$ is the Bessel kernel which verifies the following properties:

(i) $\mathcal{K}$ is positive, radially symmetric and smooth in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$,
(ii) there is $C > 0$ such that $\mathcal{K}(x) \le \frac{C}{|x|^{N+2s}}$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$,
(iii) $\mathcal{K} \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $q \in [1, \frac{N}{N-2s})$.

Then, arguing as in Lemma 2.6 in [4], we can see that

$$z_n(x) \to 0 \text{ as } |x| \to \infty$$

uniformly in $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Therefore, there exists $R > 0$ such that

$$|(\tilde{u}_n(x), \tilde{v}_n(x))| < a \text{ for all } |x| \ge R, n \in \mathbb{N},$$

from where it follows that

$$|(u_{\varepsilon_n}(x), v_{\varepsilon_n}(x))| < a \text{ for any } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(\tilde{y}_n), n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

As a consequence, there exists $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $n \ge \nu$ and $\frac{r}{\varepsilon_n} > R$, it holds

$$\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Lambda_{\varepsilon_n} \subset \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{\frac{r}{\varepsilon_n}}(\tilde{y}_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(\tilde{y}_n),$$

which gives $|(u_{\varepsilon_n}(x), v_{\varepsilon_n}(x))| < a$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Lambda_{\varepsilon_n}$ and $n \ge \nu$, that is a contradiction.
Now, let $\bar{\varepsilon}_\delta$ given in Theorem 5.1 and take $\varepsilon_\delta = \min\{\tilde{\varepsilon}_\delta, \bar{\varepsilon}_\delta\}$. Fix $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_\delta)$. By Theorem 5.1, we know that problem (3.7) admits $cat_{M_\delta}(M)$ nontrivial solutions $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon)$. Since $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon) \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon$ satisfies (6.1), from the definition of H and $\hat{Q}$, it follows that $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon)$ is a solution of (3.1). By using $(Q6)$ and the maximum principle for the fractional Laplacian [16], we can infer that $u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon > 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$.

Finally, we study the behavior of maximum points of $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon)$.
Let $\varepsilon_n \to 0$ and take $(u_{\varepsilon_n}, v_{\varepsilon_n}) \subset \mathbb{H}_{\varepsilon_n}$ be a sequence of solutions to (3.7) as above. By using the definition of H and $(Q2)$, we can see that there exists $\bar{a} \in (0, a)$ sufficiently small such that

$$uH_u(\varepsilon_n x, u, v) + vH_v(\varepsilon_n x, u, v) \le \frac{\alpha}{2}(u^2 + v^2) \text{ for any } x \in \mathbb{R}^N, |(u, v)| \le \bar{a}. \tag{6.2}$$

Reasoning in similar way as before, we can find $R > 0$ such that

$$\|(u_{\varepsilon_n}, v_{\varepsilon_n})\|_{L^\infty(B_R^c(\tilde{y}_n))} < \bar{a}. \tag{6.3}$$

Up to a subsequence, we may assume that

$$\|(u_{\varepsilon_n}, v_{\varepsilon_n})\|_{L^\infty(B_R(\tilde{y}_n))} \geq \bar{a}. \tag{6.4}$$

In fact, if this case does not occur, we deduce that $\|(u_{\varepsilon_n}, v_{\varepsilon_n})\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} < \bar{a}$, and by using the facts $\langle \mathcal{J}'_{\varepsilon_n}(u_{\varepsilon_n}, v_{\varepsilon_n}), (u_{\varepsilon_n}, v_{\varepsilon_n})\rangle = 0$ and (6.2), we get

$$\|(u_{\varepsilon_n}, v_{\varepsilon_n})\|^2_{\varepsilon_n} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_{\varepsilon_n} H_u(\varepsilon_n x, u_{\varepsilon_n}, v_{\varepsilon_n}) + v_{\varepsilon_n} H_v(\varepsilon_n x, u_{\varepsilon_n}, v_{\varepsilon_n})\, dx \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u^2_{\varepsilon_n} + v^2_{\varepsilon_n})\, dx,$$

which implies that $\|(u_{\varepsilon_n}, v_{\varepsilon_n})\|_{\varepsilon_n} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, that is a contradiction. Then, (6.3) holds. Therefore, if we denote by x_n and $\bar{x}_n$ the maximum points of u_{ε_n} and v_{ε_n} respectively, then, from (6.3) and (6.4), it follows that $x_n = \tilde{y}_n + p_n$ and $\bar{x}_n = \tilde{y}_n + q_n$ for some $p_n, q_n \in B_R(0)$.
Set $\hat{u}_n(x) = u_n(x/\varepsilon_n)$ and $\hat{v}_n(x) = v_n(x/\varepsilon_n)$. Then $\hat{u}_n$ and $\hat{v}_n$ are solutions to (1.1) with maximum points $P_n := \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n + \varepsilon_n p_n$ and $Q_n := \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n + \varepsilon_n q_n$ respectively. Since $|p_n|, |q_n| < R$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n \to y \in M$, we can infer that $P_n, Q_n \to y$. By using Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} C(P_n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} C(Q_n) = C(y) = C^* = C(x_0).$$

This ends the proof of theorem.

□

References

[1] C. O. Alves, *Local mountain pass for a class of elliptic system*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **335** (2007), no. 1, 135–150.
[2] C. O. Alves, G. M. Figueiredo, and M. F. Furtado, *Multiplicity of solutions for elliptic systems via local mountain pass method*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. **8** (2009), no. 6, 1745–1758.
[3] C. O. Alves, G. M. Figueiredo, and M. F. Furtado, *Multiple solutions for critical elliptic systems via penalization method*, Differential Integral Equations **23** (2010), no. 7-8, 703-723.
[4] C. O. Alves and O. H.Miyagaki, *Existence and concentration of solution for a class of fractional elliptic equation in $\mathbb{R}^N$ via penalization method*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **55** (2016), no. 3, Art. 47, 19 pp.
[5] C. O. Alves and S. H. M. Soares, *Existence and concentration of positive solutions for a class of gradient systems*, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. **12** (2005), no. 4, 437–457.
[6] A. Ambrosetti, A. Malchiodi, and S. Secchi, *Multiplicity results for some nonlinear Schrödinger equations with potentials*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 159 (2001), no. 3, 253–271.
[7] Ambrosetti A. and Rabinowitz P.H. , *Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications*, J. Funct. Anal. **14** (1973), 349–381.
[8] V. Ambrosio, *Concentrating solutions for a class of nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equations in $\mathbb{R}^N$*, (submitted) preprint arXiv:1612.02388.
[9] V. Ambrosio, *Multiplicity of solutions for fractional Schrödinger systems in $\mathbb{R}^N$*, (submitted) preprint arXiv:1703.04370.
[10] V. Ambrosio, *Multiplicity of positive solutions for a class of fractional Schrödinger equations via penalization method*, to appear in Annali di Matematica Pura e Applicata DOI 10.1007/s10231-017-0652-5.
[11] V. Ambrosio, *Multiplicity and concentration of solutions for critical fractional Schrödinger systems in $\mathbb{R}^N$*, work in progress.
[12] A. I. Ávila and J. Yang, *Multiple solutions of nonlinear elliptic systems*, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. **12** (2005), no. 4, 459–479.
[13] T. Bartsch, Z. Q. Wang, *Existence and multiplicity results for some superlinear elliptic problems on $\mathbb{R}^N$*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **20** (1995)1725–1741.
[14] V. Benci and G.Cerami, *Multiple positive solutions of some elliptic problems via the Morse theory and the domain topology*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **2** (1994), no. 1, 29–48.
[15] J. Busca and B. Sirakov, *Symmetry results for semilinear elliptic systems in the whole space*, J. Differential Equations **163** (2000), no. 1, 41-56.
[16] X. Cabré and Y. Sire, *Nonlinear equations for fractional Laplacians, I: Regularity, maximum principles, and Hamiltonian estimates*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **31** (2014), 23–53.
[17] L.A. Caffarelli and L.Silvestre, *An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **32** (2007),1245–1260.
[18] W. Choi, *On strongly indefinite systems involving the fractional Laplacian*, Nonlinear Anal. **120** (2015), 127–153.
[19] V. Coti Zelati and M. Nolasco, *Existence of ground states for nonlinear, pseudo-relativistic Schrödinger equations*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Rend. Lincei (9) Mat. Appl. **22** (2011), 51–72.

[20] D. C. de Morais Filho and M. A. S. Souto, *Systems of p-Laplacian equations involving homogeneous nonlinearities with critical Sobolev exponent degrees*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **24** (1999), no. 7-8, 1537–1553.
[21] M. Del Pino and P. L. Felmer, *Local Mountain Pass for semilinear elliptic problems in unbounded domains*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, **4** (1996), 121–137.
[22] E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci and E. Valdinoci, *Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces*, Bull. Sci. math. **136** (2012), 521–573.
[23] S. Dipierro, M. Medina, and E. Valdinoci, *Fractional elliptic problems with critical growth in the whole of* $\mathbb{R}^N$, (2015). arXiv:1506.01748vl.
[24] M. M. Fall, F. Mahmoudi and E. Valdinoci, *Ground states and concentration phenomena for the fractional Schrödinger equation*, Nonlinearity **28** (2015), no. 6, 1937–1961.
[25] L. F. O. Faria, O. H. Miyagaki, F. R. Pereira, M. Squassina, and C. Zhang, *The Brezis-Nirenberg problem for nonlocal systems*, Adv. Nonlinear Anal. **5** (2016), no. 1, 85–103.
[26] P. Felmer, A. Quaas and J. Tan, *Positive solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with the fractional Laplacian*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **142** (2012), 1237–1262.
[27] G. M. Figueiredo and M. F. Furtado, *Multiple positive solutions for a quasilinear system of Schrödinger equations*, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. **15** (2008), no. 3, 309–333.
[28] G.M. Figueiredo and G. Siciliano, *A multiplicity result via Ljusternick-Schnirelmann category and Morse theory for a fractional Schrödinger equation in* $\mathbb{R}^N$, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. **23** (2016), no. 2, Art. 12, 22 pp.
[29] A. Floer and A. Weinstein, *Nonspreading wave packets for the cubic Schrdinger equation with a bounded potential*, J. Funct. Anal. **69** (1986), 397–408.
[30] R. Frank, E. Lenzmann, L. Silvestre, *Uniqueness of radial solutions for the fractional Laplacian*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 69 (2016), no. 9, 1671–1726.
[31] Z. Guo, S. Luo, and W. Zou, *On critical systems involving fractional Laplacian*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **446** (2017), no. 1, 681–706.
[32] H. Hajaiej, *Existence of minimizers of functionals involving the fractional gradient in the absence of compactness, symmetry and monotonicity*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **399** (2013), no. 1, 17-26.
[33] N. Laskin, *Fractional quantum mechanics and Lèvy path integrals*, Phys. Lett. A **268** (2000), 298–305.
[34] N. Laskin, *Fractional Schrödinger equation*, Phys. Rev. E **66** (2002), 056108.
[35] B. Liu and L. Ma, *Radial symmetry results for fractional Laplacian systems*, Nonlinear Anal. **146** (2016), 120–135.
[36] G. Molica Bisci and V. Rădulescu, *Ground state solutions of scalar field fractional Schrödinger equations*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **54** (2015), no. 3, 2985–3008.
[37] G. Molica Bisci, V. Rădulescu and R. Servadei, *Variational methods for nonlocal fractional problems*, with a foreword by Jean Mawhin. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 162. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016. xvi+383 pp.
[38] P. Rabinowitz, *On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations* Z. Angew. Math. Phys. **43** (1992), no. 2, 270291.
[39] S. Secchi, *Ground state solutions for nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equations in* $\mathbb{R}^N$, J. Math. Phys. **54** (2013), 031501.
[40] S. Secchi, *On fractional Schrödinger equations in* $\mathbb{R}^N$ *without the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **47** (2016), no. 1, 19–41.
[41] X. Shang and J. Zhang, *Concentrating solutions of nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equation with potentials*, J. Differential Equations **258** (2015), no. 4, 1106–1128.
[42] X. Shang and J. Zhang, *Ground states for fractional Schrödinger equations with critical growth*, Nonlinearity **27** (2014), no. 2, 187–207.
[43] K. Teng, *Multiple solutions for a class of fractional Schrödinger equations in* $\mathbb{R}^N$, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. **21** (2015), 76–86.
[44] Y. Wan and A. Ávila, *Multiple solutions of a coupled nonlinear Schrödinger system*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **334** (2007), no. 2, 1308-1325
[45] X. Wang, *On concentration of positive bound states of nonlinear Schrdinger equations*, Comm. Math. Phys. **53** (1993), 229–244.
[46] K. Wang and J. Wei, *On the uniqueness of solutions of a nonlocal elliptic system*, Math. Ann. **365** (2016), no. 1-2, 105–153.
[47] M. Willem, *Minimax theorems*, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, 24. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1996. x+162 pp.

(V. Ambrosio) Dipartimento di Scienze Pure e Applicate (DiSPeA), Università degli Studi di Urbino 'Carlo Bo' Piazza della Repubblica, 13 61029 Urbino (Pesaro e Urbino, Italy)
E-mail address: vincenzo.ambrosio@uniurb.it